Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/585,781	KUDO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nirav G. Patel	2624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) Nirav G. Patel.	(3)	
(2) Ali Imam (Reg. No: 58,755).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 August 2010	Time: <u>1:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Maeda in view of AAPA Claims discussed: 1,17,21,24		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summit	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Nirav G. Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/585,781

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It appeared to the examiner that the applicants were seeking to claim something which did not appear in the claims, but found in the specification (left-edge blocks only), and conveyed in the remarks dated 7/23/2010. The examiner indicated that the current state of the claim allowed for broad and reasonable interpretation to allow the application of the Maeda reference in combination with the Applicants Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) to reject the claims. The examiner suggested that adding the limitation "only" to specify the requirement of the claim would overcome the prior art of record and move the application in condition for allowance. The applicants agreed and provided authorization for an examiner's amendment.